

**APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT OF  
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**For:**  
**COMPUTER-IMPLEMENTED VEHICLE REPAIR CLAIMS  
RULES GENERATOR SYSTEM**

**By:**  
**PRUDY MCINTOSH**  
**JOAN RABAUT**  
**MICHAEL MAHONEY**  
**DAN LAETHEM**  
**PAUL STANNARD**

## **COMPUTER-IMPLEMENTED VEHICLE REPAIR CLAIMS RULES GENERATOR SYSTEM**

### **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

#### **FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates generally to computer-based vehicle warranty and repair administration systems and more particularly, to computer-based vehicle  
5 warranty and repair expert systems.

#### **BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

Automotive dealerships handle many different vehicle repairs which generate a corresponding number of warranty processing requirements. For example, a dealership must be able to detect when a vehicle is still under warranty  
10 for a repair or whether a vehicle is subject to a recall.

The problem of warranty processing is even further compounded if warranties from dealerships from different states of the United States or of different countries must be processed. A state may impose warranty processing regulations that are different from another state. Likewise, the warranty processing regulations  
15 of the United States may be significantly different from the regulations of Mexico.

An existing approach to processing warranty claims from dealerships distributed across the world was to use a rather large and difficult to maintain set of COBOL programs. A different set of COBOL programs was used for each major geographic region. The processing requirements were hardcoded in COBOL

programs so that a change in warranty processing requirements necessitated a COBOL source code change in one or more of the sets of COBOL programs. To locate where the source code change had to occur was difficult and tedious, with unexpected effects occasionally occurring after the changes were implemented.

5           A specific example of a warranty processing problem is that if a vehicle is damaged while in route to a dealership, the carrying company is responsible for the damage. Typically, the vehicle manufacturer would pay the dealership for the damage in route. The carrying company would reimburse the vehicle manufacturer for the damage. However, due to the distributed nature of vehicle delivery and the  
10 vast number of vehicles that are delivered, the vehicle manufacturing company experienced great difficulty in associating the damaged vehicles with the carrying company and with the dealership. Invariably, the vehicle manufacturer was not able to recoup fully from the carrying company the monies paid to the dealership. Moreover, if damage is not reported quickly enough, the carrying company may  
15 refuse to pay for the damage.

          The present invention overcomes the aforementioned disadvantages as well as other disadvantages. In accordance with the teachings of the present invention, a computer-implemented warranty knowledge base construction system and method are provided. An user interface receives a first rule related to vehicle repair  
20 claim processing. A rules syntax data store stores syntax rules for constructing repair claim-related rules. A knowledge base generator module connected to the user interface and to the rules syntax data store determines whether the first rule is

in an acceptable syntax based upon the stored syntax rules. The first rule is used in a knowledge base system to process repair claims.

Further areas of applicability of the present invention will become apparent from the detailed description provided hereinafter. It should be understood  
5 however that the detailed description and specific examples, while indicating preferred embodiments of the invention, are intended for purposes of illustration only, since various changes and modifications within the spirit and scope of the invention will become apparent to those skilled in the art from this detailed description.

## 10                   **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

The present invention will become more fully understood from the detailed description and the accompanying drawings, wherein:

Figure 1 is a system block diagram depicting the repair claim processing expert rules system of the present invention;

15           Figure 2 is a system block diagram depicting the creation of the repair claim processing expert rules of the present invention; and

Figures 3-9 are computer screen displays showing different detailed views of the repair claim processing expert rules system.

## **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS**

20           Figure 1 depicts an unique computer networked system 30 for processing vehicle warranty claims via a single expert system 34. The one integrated expert

system 34 preferably uses expert repair claim rules 38 in a knowledge base system to process the many warranty claims that are generated by the different dealerships throughout the world. It should be understood that the present invention applies to vehicle repair claim processing as well as to the more specific repair claim type

5         Dealers provide repair claims through one of a number of different types of front-end interfaces. As a non-limiting example, dealers can utilize a dial up personal computer (PC) 40 as a front-end to connect to the back-end system 42 that contains warranty expert system 34.

10         Other types of interfacing computers include general purpose computers with Internet web browsing capability. The web browsers connect to the back-end system 42 via an Internet connection. Another type of front-end includes a dealer mailing a warranty claim to the vehicle's manufacturer so that the vehicle manufacturer can input the warranty data through front-end computer system 46.

15         In an exemplary embodiment, computers 40 and 46 communicate with the back-end system 42 through different software modules. Computer 40 communicates with the back-end system 42 through the batch claims driver software module 50. Computer 46 communicates with the back-end system 42 through interface software 52. Computers 40 and 46 provide such warranty data as the dealer involved in the transaction, the vehicle identification number (VIN),  
20         the parts involved in the repair operation, the labor operation code (LOP), etc.

       With respect to computer 40, the batch driver software module 50 processes the input warranty data by invoking data retrieval subroutines software module 54 in order to retrieve from database 58 any additional information needed to process the

warranty claim. With respect to computer 46, another software program 56 invokes data retrieval subroutines software module 54 in order to retrieve from database 58 any additional information needed to process the warranty claim.

For example, data retrieval subroutines software module 54 may retrieve  
5 information related to whether the vehicle is still under warranty from database 58. As another non-limiting example, information related to what type of warranty the vehicle is under may also be retrieved from database 58. In the preferred embodiment, data retrieval subroutines software module 54 uses structured query language (SQL) commands to retrieve the information from a relational database  
10 management system, such as the DB2 relational database management system from IBM.

The input warranty data and additional data retrieved from database 58 are provided to the knowledge base expert system 34. In the preferred embodiment, the knowledge base system is an Aion system which is available from Computer  
15 Associates, Inc.

Knowledge base system 34 uses warranty rules engine 38 to evaluate the input warranty data and the additional data from database 58. Input/output interface 62 allows "outside" software modules to communicate with the warranty expert rules 38. These "outside" software modules include but are not limited to  
20 the batch claims driver software module 50 and software module 56. Input/output interface 62 provides a standard mechanism to communicate with the knowledge base system and to populate the knowledge base system with knowledge warranty business objects 66. An example of a knowledge warranty

business object 66 is the "dealer" object which has the attributes relating to the dealer such as for example address, labor rates, and technician identification.

Input/output interface 62 also provides an interface for an user to view the repair claim-related expert rules. The present invention uses a lower level representation of the repair claim-related expert rules when the rules are being executed, but uses a high level computer expression format (such as an English phrase format) to display to an user the high level computer expression format of the repair claim-related expert rules. This provides an unique advantage of allowing non-computer programmers to create and/or evaluate repair claim-related expert rules since the rules are displayed to them in an English phrase format.

Warranty business rules engine 38 processes the data provided by input/output interface 62 by applying such rules as whether the parts indicated in the input data make sense relative to the labor that is being performed. For example, a labor operation code for warranty work on brakes would not involve engine parts since another labor operation code would be used for that work.

If warranty business rules engine 38 needs additional information from database 58, then warranty business rules engine 38 uses the parms software module 70 to query database 58 for the additional information. The parms software module 70 uses SQL commands to perform the queries.

Warranty business rules engine 38 uses the query module 74 in order to interface with the data retrieval subroutines 54. As a non-limiting example, this is

done conditionally when additional historical information is required to validate a claim.

Figure 2 depicts a computer system block diagram for creating and maintaining the integrity of the large set of warranty business rules used by the present invention. In the preferred embodiment, a rules administrator 100 uses a graphical user interface (GUI) 104 to enter and test new and existing warranty rules. The rules administrator may be entering new warranty rules due to a change in a state warranty law and wants to ensure that none of the current warranty rules are inconsistent with the new warranty rules.

An unique advantage of GUI 104 is that rules administrator 100 uses English phrases to express the warranty rules. Previous expert rules-based systems mandated that users express the rules in the programming language of the knowledge base system, such as in the C++ programming language. The present invention allows the rules administrator to focus less on programming language knowledge and focus more on the substance of the warranty rules. This advantage allows more types of users to enter in rules than only using users with an appreciable amount of programming experience as rules administrators. An example of the more English phrase-like rules approach in the present invention is the following: if the odometer reading at the time of the repair was 'excessive' (using an excessive mileage parameter), then set message code "ABC" and reject the claim." However, it should be understood that the present invention includes other different English phrases, such as "labor hours must be greater than zero", as well as expressions in other higher order languages, such as German or Italian.



GUI 104 provides knowledge base generator software module 108 with the new warranty rules from rules administrator 100. Knowledge base generator 108 uses the knowledge base consistency checking software of the Aion system to check that the rules being entered by rules administrator 100 are properly worded and using words that are understandable by the present invention. If the rules are not consistent such that they are not syntactically correct or not uniformly worded, then messages are provided to rules administrator 100 that explain the reason(s) behind the inconsistency or non-uniformity so that rules administrator 100 may provide corrective action. Once the new rules have been checked by knowledge base generator 108, the preferred embodiment provides the knowledge base generator 108 with converting the English phrase rule expressions into a programming language, such as C++.

Integrity rules software module 112 uses the knowledge base consistency checking software of the Aion system to check that the rules from knowledge base generator 108 being entered by rules administrator 100 are consistent with rules that are presently in the warranty knowledge base system of the present invention. If the rules are not consistent, then messages are provided to rules administrator 100 that explain the reason(s) behind the inconsistency so that rules administrator 100 may provide corrective action.

A forced test software module 116 provides warranty test case scenarios to test the new rules with the existing rules. If inconsistencies arise during the testing, then messages are provided to rules administrator 100 that explain the reason(s) behind the inconsistencies so that rules administrator 100 may provide corrective

action. If testing does not provide inconsistencies, then approval process software module 120 provides a structured environment for personnel other than the rules administrator to approve the knowledge base with the new rules.

Once the new knowledge base is approved, regression testing software  
5 module 124 provides a larger body of scenarios via claim test data 128 to test the new knowledge base. After regression testing, the tested knowledge base 132 is processed through reverse engineering software module 136 in order to create a specification that can be used to recreate the complete knowledge base if it should become corrupted.

10 The specification for the knowledge base includes warranty business methods 140, warranty business rules 38, application dictionary 144, and other references 148. An example of a warranty business method 140 is the parts mark-up and pricing module which determines the dealer mark-up on parts. Application dictionary 144 is a reference library that identifies data elements and attributes used  
15 in the repair claims processing system. Other references 148 include other internal mainframe software libraries that are referenced during repair claims processing.

Figure 3 depicts an exemplary computer screen for a rules administrator to use to enter and maintain warranty rules. Each warranty rule is preferably given an unique number, such as LB7 as shown by reference numeral 200. LB7 rule 200  
20 contains premise(s) and action(s). If the premises are evaluated as true, then the action(s) are executed. For example, the LB7 rule 200 includes two premises and one action. The first premise 202 is: (the condition labor input hours is less than or equal to zero). If the two premises of the LB7 rule 200 are together evaluated as

true, then the action shown by reference numeral 204 is executed. The "ApplyLB7Rule" method 205 is the code that executes the rule.

Figure 4 depicts a computer screen that shows how the present invention enters and/or edits information related to the first premise of LB7 rule 200. Figure 5 shows how an user can select whether an expression is an action or a premise. Figure 6 shows how an user is able to construct English phrases for rules. A pull down box lists the different expressions that have been stored by the present invention and that can be used by rule administrators.

Figure 7 depicts the various business terms that have been stored as variables for use by rule administrators to construct rules. Column 240 entitled "Description" details the user-friendly English phrases that a rules administrator uses to build repair claim rules. Column 242 entitled "Term" shows the C++ object to which the English phrase is translated when the rules are converted by the present invention from English phrases into C++ code.

Figure 8 shows detailed information regarding the business term "ConditionLaborInputHours", such as how it is derived. The derivation is shown in derivation region 250. This term is derived via a database command that retrieves data from the field "Q\_LABR\_HRS\_SUBMT" from the database table "Labor". The present invention allows rules administrators to build rules from preexisting constructs, such as from already existing phrases. Figure 9 shows the business term "LessThanorEqualZero" which has an atomic derivation with an expression of "<=0".

The invention being thus described, it will be obvious that the same may be varied in many ways. Such variations are not to be regarded as a departure from the spirit and scope of the invention, and all such modifications as would be obvious to one skilled in the art are intended to be included within the scope of the

5 following claims.